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General Idea of Estimation and Inference I

- Statistical inference revolves around observing data from an unknown probability distribution and making statements about properties of the distribution
  - How precisely can we estimate the expectations of the distribution? (recall the WLLN, CLT, etc.)
  - What is an estimate of the spread of the distribution and can we reasonably provide bounds on these estimates?
- Insights of the distribution may inform about how to expect similar experiments to behave in the future.
We will consider a few topics related to estimation and inference:

- **Point Estimation of a Parameter**
  - How to obtain estimators of parameters and evaluation these estimators

- **Hypothesis testing**
  - Is our estimate different from a hypothesized value?
  - Are the parameters of two groups of data the same or different? (i.e. did the data arise from the same process or not)

- **Confidence Intervals**
  - Can we obtain an estimate of an interval of likely values?
Idea of Point Estimation

- Consider random variables, $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$, from a parametric distribution.
- The joint distribution function depends on an unknown parameter $\theta$ (or potentially a vector of parameters), which are known to be in a given set $\Theta$ (i.e. the parameter space).
- Estimating $\theta$ is a problem of point estimation and will be accomplished using an appropriate function of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$. 
Reviewing a Statistic

- Recall the definition of a statistic...

**Definition (Statistic (Casella))**

Let \( X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \) be a random sample of size \( n \) from a population and let \( T(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) be a real-valued or vector-valued function whose domain includes the sample space of \( (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \). Then the random variable or random vector \( Y = T(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \) is called a statistic. The probability distribution of a statistic \( Y \) is called the sampling distribution of \( Y \).

- We emphasize again that there is a major difference between the distribution of a single data point \( X_i \) and the distribution of the sample
Relationship between Statistics, Estimators and Estimates

- It is not hard to imagine that all statistics can be used (in some fashion) as a point estimator.

Definition (Point Estimator (Casella))

A point estimator is any function $T_n(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ of a sample; any statistic is a point estimator.

- An important distinction is that an estimator is a random variable (it is a function of the random sample), an estimate is a realization of an estimator, that is $T_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.  

Examples of Point Estimators

- Consider a parametric distribution with mean, \( \theta \).
- Additionally consider an i.i.d sample from this distribution of size \( n \).
- The following are valid estimators of the sample mean.
  - \( \hat{\theta}_1 = 5 \).
  - \( \hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \)
  - \( \hat{\theta}_3 = \frac{1}{2} (X_4 + X_{54}) \) if \( 4, 54 \leq n \)
- Need some criteria to evaluate point estimators.
Properties of Estimators

- Some definitions to evaluate estimators

**Definition (Unbiased Estimator)**

We say $T_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an unbiased estimator of $\theta$ if

$$E_{\theta}[T_n(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)] = \theta \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

- Naturally this lends itself to defining the bias of an estimator

**Definition (Bias of an Estimator)**

The bias of the estimator $T$ is given as

$$b_n(\theta) = E_{\theta}[T_n(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)] - \theta$$
Example of Unbiased Estimators I

- Recall the sample mean and consider an estimator of the variance from some population where $E(X) = \mu$ and $Var(X) = \sigma^2$.

\[
\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2
\]

- We already know $E(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} n \mu = \mu$.
- Let us now consider the sample variance
Example of Unbiased Estimators II

\[
E(S^2) = \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2 - 2X_i \bar{X} + \bar{X}^2) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2) - 2\bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i) + n\bar{X}^2 \right)
\]
Example of Unbiased Estimators III

\[
\begin{align*}
= \ & \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2) - 2n \bar{X} \bar{X} + n \bar{X}^2 \right) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{n} E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i^2) - n \bar{X}^2 \right) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(X_i^2) - n E(\bar{X}^2) \right) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{n} \left( n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right) \right) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{n} \left( n\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \right) = \frac{1}{n} (n - 1)\sigma^2 \\
= \ & \frac{n - 1}{n} \sigma^2
\end{align*}
\]
Asymptotic Unbiasedness

- Some estimators may be biased in small samples, but this bias may “disappear” when \( n \) grows large enough.
- This motivates us to define asymptotic unbiased estimators

**Definition (asymptotically unbiased estimator)**

We say \( T_n(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \) is an asymptotically unbiased estimator of \( \theta \) if

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n(\theta) = 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta
\]

- The biased estimator of the sample variance is also asymptotically unbiased!
Consistent Estimators

- Finally, we would like to talk about estimators of $\theta$ which converge in probability to $\theta$ (i.e. their probability “collects” close to $\theta$)

**Definition (consistent sequence of estimators)**

If $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are random variables to be observed and they have distribution function $F_{X_1,\ldots,X_n}(y_1,\ldots,y_n|\theta)$ where the unknown $\theta \in \Theta$ then a sequence $T_1, T_2, \ldots$ is called a consistent sequence of estimators of $\theta$ if and only if (as $n \to \infty$)

$$T_n(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \xrightarrow{P} \theta \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

- Under this definition $\bar{X}_n$ is a consistent sequence of estimators for the population mean $\mu$. 
Mean Square Consistency

▶ Another definition is for an estimator that is consistent in mean square (or consistent in quadratic mean)

**Definition (Consistent in Mean Square)**

We say that $T_n(\theta_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is consistent in mean square if

$$E_\theta(T_n - \theta)^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

▶ The quantity $E(T_n - \theta)^2$ is called the mean squared error of the estimator $T_n$.

▶ If an estimator is consistent in mean square both its variance and bias go to zero as $n$ grows.
Method of Moments Estimators I

- One of the simplest ways of finding parameter estimates is by method of moments estimation.
- Dates back to Karl Pearson in the 1800s.
- Relies on the Weak Law of Large Numbers.
- Recall the definition of the population moments.

**Definition (Moment of a Random Variable)**

For each random variable $X$ and every positive integer $k$, $E(X^k)$ is called the $k^{th}$ moment of $X$, often denoted $\mu'_k$.

- Note that $\mu'_k$ will be a function of the parameters.
Method of Moments Estimators II

- We now define the **sample** moments

**Definition (Sample Moments)**

Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be \( n \) random variables (not necessarily independent or identically distributed). Their \( k^{th} \) (noncentral) sample moment is

\[
m'_{k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^k
\]

- Each one of these will be a consistent estimate of the population moment based upon the WLLN.
To come up with estimators based on the sample moments, we equate the sample moment to the population moment and solve

That is we solve the following system of equations

\[ m'_1 = \mu'_1(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d) \]
\[ m'_2 = \mu'_2(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ m'_d = \mu'_d(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d) \]
Example - Normal MOM Estimators I

- Suppose a sample $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$, iid, from a $Normal(\theta, \sigma^2)$ distribution.

- We know we have two unknown parameters and that the population moments are

$$
\mu'_1 = E(X) = \theta \quad \mu'_2 = E(X^2) = \sigma^2 + \theta^2
$$

- The corresponding sample moments are

$$
m'_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \quad m'_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2
$$
Example - Normal MOM Estimators II

Thus, we need to solve the following system of equations:

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i = \theta
\]

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 = \theta^2 + \sigma^2
\]
Example - Normal MOM Estimators III

- Continuing,

\[ \hat{\theta} = \bar{X} \]

and

\[
\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2
\]

- Notice that this is an **biased** estimate of the population variance, but that it does indeed provide an estimator for the parameters of interest!
Maximum Likelihood Estimation

► We’ll now talk about one of the more popular estimation methods in modern statistics
  ► Introduced by RA Fisher in 1912.
► Maximum likelihood estimation can be applied to *most* problems, though it performs best when the sample size is large.
► We’ll first define the Likelihood Function
The Likelihood Function

Definition (Likelihood Function)

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be $n$ random variables with joint pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$ where $\theta \in \Theta$ is unknown. The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta|x) = f(x|\theta)$$

- Note: That while it appears that the likelihood function is the joint density function there is a subtle difference.
- The likelihood function is a function of $\theta$, where the $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ are fixed and $\theta$ varies.
Consider a random sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ from an exponential($\lambda$).

We can find the likelihood function as follows,

\[
L(\theta|x) = f(x|\theta) = f(x_1|\theta)f(x_2|\theta)\ldots f(x_n|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i|\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda}\right\}
\]
Example - Visualizing the Likelihood Function II

- Let’s visualize what this looks like...

![Likelihood Function]

- We now see that the likelihood is a function of the parameter, for fixed data.
Understanding Likelihood

- Consider a discrete random variable and let
  \[ L(\theta|x) = P(X = x) \]

- Comparing the likelihood functions at two different parameter values and find that
  \[ P_{\theta_1}(X = x) = L(\theta_1|x) > L(\theta_2|x) = P_{\theta_2}(X = x) \]
  then the observed sample is ‘more likely’ to have occurred if \( \theta = \theta_1 \) than if \( \theta = \theta_2 \).

- There is a similar calculation that can be shown for continuous distributions.

- This implies that we will want to find the value of \( \theta \) such that the observed data is most likely to have come from that distribution.
Maximum Likelihood Estimation

▶ We now define the maximum likelihood estimator

Definition (Maximum Likelihood Estimator)

For each sample realization $x$, let $\hat{\theta}(x)$ be a parameter value at which $L(\theta|x)$ attains its maximum as a function of $\theta$ with $x$ held fixed. A maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the parameter $\theta$ based on a sample $X$ is $\hat{\theta}(X)$.

▶ Recall again that a maximum likelihood estimate is a realization of the function $\hat{\theta}(X)$.

▶ Mathematically, we want any $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_n(X_1, \ldots X_n) \in \Theta$ such that

$$L(\hat{\theta}) = \sup\{L(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$$
Example - Maximizing The Likelihood I

- Recall our favorite example using the Exponential distribution
- We have shown that the likelihood is as follows,

\[ L(\theta|x) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda}\right\} \]

- Let’s maximize this function
Example - Maximizing The Likelihood II

\[ \log L(\theta|x) = -n \log \lambda - \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda} \]

\[ \frac{d}{d\lambda} \log L(\theta|x) = -\frac{n}{\lambda} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda^2} \]

- Setting to 0

\[ 0 = -\frac{n}{\lambda} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda^2} \]

\[ \frac{n}{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda^2} \]

\[ \lambda = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \bar{x} \]
Invariance of the MLE

- As with the creation of the delta method, we may not always want to estimate a parameter itself.
- What may be more interesting is some function of the MLE.

**Theorem (Invariance Property of MLEs)**

*If \( \hat{\theta} \) is the MLE of \( \theta \), then for any function \( g(\theta) \), the MLE of \( g(\theta) \) is \( g(\hat{\theta}) \).*

- This theorem (unproven) allows us to talk about MLE’s of functions of parameters.
We won’t develop all of Bayesian estimation here, but we will talk about some of the fundamentals.

Ultimately Bayesian statistics is interested in the distribution of the parameter given the observed data

$$p(\theta|x)$$

We also have the distribution of the data if we specify a model for that data, that is we have

$$f(x|\theta)$$
Finally we may be able to use expert opinion to generate a distribution for likely values of the parameter $p(\theta)$.

Under Bayes Theorem

$$p(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(x)} \propto f(x|\theta)p(\theta)$$
Bayesian Estimation III

- We define $p(\theta)$ as the prior distribution, $f(x|\theta)$ is the likelihood,
- We define $p(\theta|x)$ as the posterior distribution
- Simplifying things

\[ \text{posterior } \propto \text{likelihood } \times \text{prior} \]

- Bayesian estimation is done by choosing properties of the distribution which represent high posterior density
- Often the posterior median or mean are used, both offer nice theoretical properties
Bayesian Statistics

We often use probabilities to informally express our beliefs about unknown quantities. Bayesian statistics formalizes a way to bring prior information or personal belief into an analysis.

**Bayesian vs. Frequentist Philosophy**

**Frequentist**
- Data are a repeatable random sample - there is a frequency
- Underlying parameters remain constant during this repeatable process
- Parameters are fixed

**Bayesian**
- Data are observed from the realized sample.
- Parameters are unknown and described probabilistically
- Data are fixed

Bayesian Statistics Example I

Consider a random sample $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ from an exponential distribution parameterized such that $E(X_i) = 1/\lambda$.

$$f(x_i|\theta) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x_i)$$

Notice the form of this distribution, as a function of $\lambda$ it appears to have a gamma distribution.

Additionally the parameter $\lambda$ is restricted from 0 to $\infty$.

A gamma distribution may be a mathematically convenient prior distribution for exponential data!

$$p(\lambda) \propto \lambda^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\lambda \beta)$$
Bayesian Statistics Example II

- From our examples we know that the likelihood is
  \[ f(x|\lambda) = \lambda^n \exp(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i) \]

- Now from earlier we’ll have that
  \[
p(\lambda|x) \propto f(x|\lambda)p(\lambda)
  \]
  \[
  \propto \lambda^{n+\alpha-1} \exp \left( -\lambda \left( \beta + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) \right)
  \]

- We see that this is a \textit{Gamma}(n + \alpha, \beta + \sum x_i)

- Thus a point estimate may be the posterior mean
  \[ E(\lambda|x) = \frac{n+\alpha}{\beta+\sum x_i} \]
The General Idea of Hypothesis Testing I

- We begin by talking about the general idea of hypothesis testing.
- A hypothesis test is concerned with deciding whether the parameter $\theta$ lies in one subset of the parameter space or another.
The General Idea of Hypothesis Testing II

- A hypothesis testing problem usually results from asking specific questions...
  - Does smoking cause cancer?
  - Do atomic power plants increase radiation levels?
  - Are the heights of students the same at two different schools?
- There is some underlying parameter $\theta$ in each one of these examples
- We will wish to determine whether it changes in specified ways when an element of a system is changed.
General Outline of a Hypothesis Test

- This is the general outline of hypothesis testing which is provided in a basic introductory statistics book (Mind on Statistics - Utts)

1. Determine the null and alternative hypotheses.
2. Verify necessary data conditions, and if they are met, summarize the data into an appropriate test statistic.
3. Assuming that the null hypothesis is true, find the p-value.
4. Decide whether the result is statistically significant based on the p-value.
5. Report the conclusion in the context of the situation.
Defining Hypotheses I

The goal of a hypothesis test is to decide, based upon our sample, which of two competing hypotheses is true.

**Definition (Hypothesis)**

A hypothesis is a statement about a population parameter.

**Definition (Null and Alternative Hypotheses)**

Two complementary hypotheses in a hypothesis testing problem are called the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis. Denoted $H_0$ and $H_1$ respectively.
Defining Hypotheses II

- If $\theta$ denotes a population parameter, the general format of the null and alternative hypotheses is $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$ and $H_1 : \theta \in \Theta_0^C$.

- In the above $\Theta_0$ is a subset of the parameter space.

- As an example consider testing to see if a drug affects blood pressure, we may be interested in if the parameter $\theta$ which denotes if a change in BP is equal to 0 or not.

\[ H_0 : \theta = 0 \quad vs. \quad H_1 : \theta \neq 0 \]

- In a hypothesis test we will either reject the null hypothesis or fail to reject the null hypothesis.
Deciding to Accept or Reject a Null Hypothesis

- We will ultimately be interested in values for which we will reject the null hypothesis.
- Consider a random sample, $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ and let $\Omega$ be the sample space of $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$.
  - There is a subset of $\Omega$ where we will fail to reject $H_0$ and a subset where will reject $H_0$.

**Definition (critical region)**

The subset of $\Omega$ for which $H_0$ will be rejected is called the critical region of the test.

- In most hypothesis testing problems the critical region is defined in terms of a test statistic, $T(\mathbf{X})$
  - “Reject $H_0$ if $T \geq c$.”
Types of Errors of Tests I

▶ Like point estimators, we will want to evaluate and talk about characteristics of hypothesis tests
▶ Specifically consider the types of errors that could arise from this testing procedure.

Definition (Type I Error)

In a hypothesis testing problem, rejecting $H_0$ when it is true is called an error of type I.

▶ The probability of rejecting $H_0$ depends on the test used and the true value of $\theta$ and is called the level of significance of the test.
Definition (Type II Error)

Failing to reject $H_0$ when it is false is called an error of type II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fail to reject $H_0$</th>
<th>Reject $H_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_0$</td>
<td>Correct Decision</td>
<td>Type I Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_1$</td>
<td>Type II Error</td>
<td>Correct Decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In designing a test it will be necessary to have a test which exhibits good properties in relation to these errors.

Consider a rejection region $R$ then for $\theta \in \Theta_0$ (i.e. the region of the null hypothesis), the test will make a mistake if $x \in R$, so the probability of a type I error is $P_\theta(X \in R)$.

For $\theta \in \Theta_0^C$, it can be shown that the probability of a Type II Error is $P_\theta(X \in R) = 1 - P_\theta(X \in R)$.

Therefore $P_\theta(X \in R)$ contains all the information about the test.
Definition (power function)

The power function of a hypothesis test with rejection region $R$ is the function of $\theta$ defined by

$$\beta(\theta) = P_\theta(X \in R)$$

- An ideal power function is 0 for all $\theta \in \Theta_0$ and 1 for $\theta \in \Theta_0^C$. This clearly unattainable in real life.
- See Hal Stern’s notes from 210 for a good demonstration of a power calculation.
- There are many more ways of evaluating tests, but these are the basics.
Example of a Hypothesis Test I

- Suppose $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a random sample from normal distribution with unknown mean $\mu$ and known variance $\sigma^2$.
- We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$$

$$H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$$

- A reasonable test statistic that would provide an estimate of our parameter would be the sample mean
- Additionally it would be reasonable to reject $H_0$ if $\bar{X}$ is far from the null $\mu_0$, or if $|\bar{X} - \mu_0| > c$.
- Therefore we could create a testing procedure that rejects $H_0$ is $|\bar{X} - \mu_0| > c$. 
Example of a Hypothesis Test II

- We additionally know that $\bar{X} - \mu_0$ will be a normal distribution centered at 0 with distribution $\sigma^2/n$.
- We can use this to find a value $c$ such that we achieve a specified significance level, $\alpha$.
- Traditionally, we will use the statistic
  \[ Z = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{\sigma} \]
  and reject $H_0$ if
  \[ |Z| \geq \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2) \]
  for a symmetric test.
- Here $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the CDF of a standard normal distribution.
Confidence Intervals

- Confidence intervals provide a little more insight than what is received by a point estimate.
- That is we may be more interested in say an ‘interval estimate’ of probable values for a parameter $\theta$.
- Additionally, there is an intimate relationship between confidence intervals and interval estimation and hypothesis testing.
Defining a Interval Estimate

- From DeGroot and Schervish, suppose that $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ form a random sample from a distribution that involves a parameter $\theta$ whose value is unknown.

- Suppose two statistics $L(X)$ and $U(X)$ can be found such that

$$P(L(X) < \theta < U(X)) = \gamma$$

where $\gamma$ is a fixed probability $(0 < \gamma < 1)$. 

Coverage Probability of an Interval Estimator

- We can also consider how often we should our intervals to capture the true parameter.
- Clearly both $L(X)$ and $U(X)$ are random and thus we will not always capture the true parameter $\theta$.
- The coverage probability is defined:

$$P(\theta \in [L(X), U(X)]|\theta)$$

- We see that in the definition from DeGroot $\gamma$ will be our coverage probability.
Inverting a Test Statistic I

- If we know the distribution of a test statistic, this can often aid in finding a confidence interval.
- Specifically, we will know the distribution of the test statistic as a function of the parameter of interest

\[ P(a < T(X) < b) = 1 - \alpha \]

- In the case of a symmetric distribution we could find the points \( a \) and \( b \) of the CDF such that we have equal tails
Inverting a Test Statistic II

- For example consider a random sample from a Normal distribution and we want a CI for the mean
- We know that
  \[ \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1) \]
- Therefore the points \( a \) and \( b \) will be \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) and \( Z_{1-\alpha/2} \).
- Thus
  \[ P(Z_{\alpha/2} < \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} < Z_{1-\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha \]
Inverting a Test Statistic III

\[
P \left( Z_{\alpha/2} < \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma} < Z_{1-\alpha/2} \right) = 1 - \alpha
\]

\[
P \left( Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} < \bar{X} - \mu < Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right) = 1 - \alpha
\]

\[
P \left( -\bar{X} + Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} < -\mu < -\bar{X} + Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right) = 1 - \alpha
\]

\[
P \left( \bar{X} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} < \mu < \bar{X} - Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right) = 1 - \alpha
\]
Thus we have created our two test statistics which satisfy the desired definition of an interval estimate

\[ L(X) = \bar{X} - Z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n}} \]

\[ U(X) = \bar{X} - Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{n}} \]

While symmetric distributions are easiest to do this with, CIs can be found for most distributions.
Interpretations of Confidence Intervals

- We first state what a CI is not: It is not correct to say that $\theta$ lies in the observed interval $(a, b)$ with probability $1 - \alpha$.
- Prior to observing values we would expect with probability $1 - \alpha$ that the parameter will be contained in the interval.
- Thus a more appropriate interpretation is that in many repeated experiments, where in each experiment we calculate a confidence interval, $1 - \alpha$ of these experiments will contain the true parameter.
One last note on Confidence Intervals

► Once last thing about confidence intervals is that they can intimately be related to hypothesis testing
► An interpretation of a confidence interval is that it is the set of all null hypotheses which are consistent with the observed data
► This implies that if the confidence interval captures the null hypothesis then it is also consistent with the observed data and we will fail to reject the null hypothesis.
► DeGroot and Schervish discuss this in detail.
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